

**The Metaphor Integrity Framework:**

*Metaphor Collapse, Symbolic Cognition, and AI-Driven Literalism*

Hillary Segeren

Independent Researcher

Ontario, Canada

January 2026

## **Abstract**

Metaphor is not ornamental language but a foundational mechanism of human cognition. Research in cognitive linguistics and philosophy of mind demonstrates that metaphor structures conceptual thought, emotional understanding, identity formation, and social connection. As artificial intelligence systems increasingly mediate communication, interpretation, and meaning-making, metaphor is being systematically flattened into explicit, literal language.

This paper introduces the Metaphor Integrity Framework (MIF), a theoretical model that treats metaphor as cognitive infrastructure rather than stylistic expression. The framework identifies metaphor collapse as a form of premature semantic closure and examines how contemporary AI systems accelerate this collapse through optimization for explicitness, speed, and disambiguation.

Drawing on cognitive science, symbolic anthropology, media theory, and AI ethics, this work argues that metaphor erosion represents an under-recognized cognitive and cultural risk with consequences for empathy, imagination, identity, and collective meaning-making. The framework provides a foundation for studying symbolic cognition in both historical and AI-mediated contexts without reducing meaning to belief or prediction.

**Keywords:** symbolic cognition, metaphor, AI ethics, cognitive linguistics, meaning-making, interpretability, human–AI interaction

## 1. Introduction

Human beings do not think in finished propositions. We think in images, fragments, metaphors, and felt senses long before we arrive at conclusions. Meaning rarely appears fully formed. It develops gradually, often indirectly, through language that gestures before it defines. Metaphor is foundational to how people think, feel, and make meaning. (Lakoff and Johnson 1980).

This paper begins with a simple claim: **meaning requires time**. The interval between experience and interpretation is not noise or inefficiency. It is the condition under which understanding becomes possible. When that interval is collapsed too early, meaning does not become clearer. It becomes thinner.

Contemporary systems increasingly favour speed, clarity, and explicitness. In many domains, this is useful. In the domain of human meaning, however, premature resolution often distorts understanding rather than improving it. Emotional life, identity, imagination, and social connection rely on symbolic processes that resist immediate clarification.

This paper builds on Hillary Segeren's previously registered cognitive framework and related work examining artificial intelligence and symbolic cognition. It consolidates those ideas into a focused account of symbolic latency, metaphor, and meaning infrastructure, with particular attention to what is lost when meaning is resolved too quickly.

The aim here is not to reject clarity or analytical reasoning. It is to show why symbolic openness must precede them if meaning is to remain human. When interpretation is forced into certainty too early, understanding narrows, connection weakens, and symbolic capacity erodes

## **2. Metaphor as Cognitive Infrastructure**

Metaphor is not ornamental language but a foundational mechanism of human cognition. Research in cognitive linguistics and philosophy of mind demonstrates that metaphor structures conceptual thought, emotional understanding, identity formation, and social connection. Metaphor allows people to map the unknown onto the known. It compresses emotional, relational, and abstract complexity into symbolic forms that can be recognized and shared (Lakoff and Johnson 1980).

When someone says “I feel trapped” or “I’m carrying too much,” they are not describing literal conditions. They are offering symbolic maps of internal experience. Understanding occurs when another person can read that map without forcing it into explicit or literal terms.

Within the Metaphor Integrity Framework, metaphor functions as a high-density symbolic container. It preserves ambiguity long enough for meaning to emerge relationally rather than immediately. This delay is not inefficiency. It is the condition that allows interpretation, resonance, and insight.

Metaphor also supports identity formation. People understand themselves through symbolic narration. Expressions such as “I’m rebuilding myself” or “I’m at a crossroads” allow identity to remain developmental rather than static.

Metaphor is therefore not optional. It is foundational to how people think, relate, and imagine.

### **3. The Mechanism of Metaphor Collapse**

Metaphor collapse occurs when symbolic language is repeatedly flattened into literal paraphrase. Ambiguity is resolved too early. Nuance is corrected into explicitness. Meaning is settled before it has time to form.

This process produces several consequences.

Symbolic richness diminishes as figurative language is avoided. Emotional articulation weakens as inner states become harder to express. Identity becomes rigid as symbolic frameworks for transformation erode. Empathy declines as metaphorical mapping between people weakens.

Culture itself flattens. Shared metaphors that once sustained collective meaning are replaced by surface clarity and standardized expression.

From the perspective of MIF, metaphor collapse is not a stylistic change. It is a cognitive failure mode.

#### **4. Artificial Intelligence as an Accelerant**

Artificial intelligence is not the origin of metaphor erosion. It is an accelerant.

AI systems perform best with explicit language, resolved ambiguity, and standardized expression. Figurative language is therefore often paraphrased or corrected (Weizenbaum, 1976).

Over time, users adapt. Literal language works better. Symbolic expression becomes inefficient.

AI also externalizes interpretation. When systems deliver pre-processed meaning, the internal work of metaphor generation weakens through disuse. Cognitive offloading replaces symbolic engagement.

Language homogenizes. Emotional vocabulary thins. As AI increasingly mediates communication, the symbolic bridge between people is outsourced.

Metaphor is treated as error rather than infrastructure.

## **5. Consequences for Meaning, Identity, and Culture**

The erosion of metaphor reshapes how people understand themselves and each other.

Identity becomes literal and brittle. Imagination contracts. Trauma becomes harder to integrate without symbolic narration. Empathy weakens as metaphorical mapping declines.

Culture shifts from participatory meaning-making toward consumable content. Symbolic depth is replaced by surface clarity. These changes accumulate gradually, often unnoticed, until meaning itself becomes difficult to sustain.

## **6. The Metaphor Integrity Framework (MIF)**

The Metaphor Integrity Framework provides a conceptual architecture for understanding and addressing metaphor collapse.

It identifies metaphor as cognitive infrastructure, maps the mechanisms through which metaphor erodes, analyzes AI's role as an accelerant, and clarifies the consequences for human meaning-making.

The framework does not argue against technology. It argues for symbolic awareness. Preserving metaphor is not nostalgia. It is cognitive stewardship.

## **7. Scope, Limits, and Ethical Clarity**

This framework makes no predictive, therapeutic, or empirical claims. It does not position symbolic systems as belief structures, nor does it propose replacing empirical science.

Its purpose is conceptual clarification. It offers a lens for understanding how symbolic cognition functions and how it can be destabilized by premature semantic closure.

## **8. Conclusion**

Metaphor is the symbolic infrastructure of human life. Its collapse represents a profound cognitive and cultural risk.

As artificial intelligence increasingly participates in interpretation, the challenge is not to eliminate symbolic systems but to remain conscious of how they function. Meaning requires space. Interpretation requires time.

The Metaphor Integrity Framework offers a way to hold that space.

## **References**

- Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.
- Clark, A. (2016). *Surfing uncertainty: Prediction, action, and the embodied mind*. Oxford University Press.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *11*(2), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>
- Gallagher, S. (2017). *Enactivist interventions: Rethinking the mind*. Oxford University Press.
- Hutchins, E. (2010). Cognitive ecology. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *2*(4), 705–715.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2010.01089.x>
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
- Suchman, L. A. (2007). *Human–machine reconfigurations: Plans and situated actions*. Cambridge University Press.
- Weizenbaum, J. (1976). *Computer power and human reason: From judgment to calculation*. W. H. Freeman.
- 

## **Correspondence**

Hillary Segeren  
Email: [hillary.segeren@gmail.com](mailto:hillary.segeren@gmail.com)